

OBSERVATIONS

ON THE

LANGUAGE

OF THE

MUHHEKANEW INDIANS;

IN WHICH

THE EXTENT OF THAT LANGUAGE IN NORTH-AMERICA IS SHEWN; ITS GENIUS IS GRAMMATICALLY TRACED; SOME OF ITS PECULIARITIES, AND SOME INSTANCES OF ANALOGY BETWEEN THAT AND THE HEBREW ARE POINTED OUT.

COMMUNICATED TO THE

Connecticut Society of Arts and Sciences,

AND PUBLISHED AT THE REQUEST OF THE SOCIETY.

---

By JONATHAN EDWARDS, D. D. 1749

PASTOR OF A CHURCH IN NEW-HAVEN, AND MEMBER OF THE  
CONNECTICUT SOCIETY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES.

---

NEW-HAVEN, PRINTED BY JOSIAH MEIGS, 1783;

✓ LONDON REPRINTED BY W. JUSTINS,

SHOEMAKER-ROW, BLACKFRIARS.

---

M,DCC,LXXXIX.

1789

*At a Meeting of the Connecticut Society of  
Arts and Sciences, held by adjournment in  
the City of New-Haven, on the 23d Day of  
October. A. D. 1787.*

**T**HE Rev. Doctor *Edwards* communicated to  
the Society a Dissertation on the Indian Lan-  
guage, with Observations on its Analogy to the  
Hebrew, and was desired to lodge the same with  
the Secretary to be published.

A true Copy of Record,

Test

CHAUNCEY GOODRICH, *Rec. Sec.*

---

# P R E F A C E.

---

**T**HAT the following Observations may obtain credit, it may be proper to inform the Reader, with what advantages they have been made.

When I was but six years of age, my father removed with his family to Stockbridge, which at that time was inhabited by Indians almost solely, as there were in the town but twelve families of whites, or Anglo-Americans, and perhaps one hundred and fifty families of Indians. The Indians being the nearest neighbours, I constantly associated with them; their boys were my daily school-mates and play-fellows. Out of my father's house, I seldom heard any language spoken, beside the Indian. By these means I acquired the knowledge of that language, and a great facility in speaking it: it became more familiar to me than my mother tongue. I knew the names of some things in Indian, which I did not know in English: even all my thoughts ran in Indian; and though the true pronunciation of the language is extremely difficult to all but themselves, they acknowledged that I had acquired it perfectly; which, as they said, never had been acquired before by any Anglo-American. On account of this acquisition, as well as on  
account

account of my skill in their language in general, I received from them many compliments, applauding my superior wisdom. This skill in their language, I have in a good measure retained to this day.

After I had drawn up these Observations, lest there should be some mistakes in them, I carried them to Stockbridge, and read them to Capt. Yogham, a principal Indian of the tribe, who is well versed in his own language, and tolerably informed concerning the English; and I availed myself of his remarks and corrections.

From these facts, the Reader will form his own opinion of the truth and accuracy of what is now offered him.

When I was in my tenth year, my father sent me among the Six Nations, with a design that I should learn their language, and thus become qualified to be a missionary among them; but on account of the war with France, which then existed, I continued among them but about six months, therefore the knowledge which I acquired of that language was but imperfect; and at this time I retain so little of it, that I will not hazard any particular critical remarks on it. I may observe, however, that though the words of the two languages are totally different, yet their structure is in some respects analogous, particularly in the use of prefixes and suffixes.

---

## OBSERVATIONS, &c.

---

THE language, which is now the subject of observation, is that of the *Mubhekaneew*, or Stockbridge Indians. They, as well as the tribe at New London, are, by the Anglo-Americans, called *Mohegans*, which is a corruption of *Mubhekaneew*\*, in the singular, or *Mubhekaneek* in the plural. This language is spoken by all the Indians throughout New England. Every tribe, as that of Stockbridge, of Farmington, of New London, &c. has a different dialect; but the language is radically the same. Mr. Elliot's translation of the Bible is in a particular dialect of this language. The dialect followed in these observations, is that of Stockbridge. This language appears to be much more extensive than any other language in North-America. The languages of the Delawares, in Pennsylvania; of the Penobscots, bordering on Nova-Scotia; of the Indians of St. Francis, in Canada; of the Shawanese, on the Ohio; and of the Chippewaus, at the westward of Lake Huron, are all radically the same with the Mohegan. The same is said concerning the languages of the Ottowaus, Nanticooks, Munlees, Menomonees, Messisagus, Saukies, Otagaumies, Killittinoes, Nipegons, Algonkins, Winnebagoes, &c. That the languages of the several tribes in New-England, of the Delawares, and of Mr. Elliot's Bible, are radically the same with the Mohegan, I assert from my own knowledge. What I assert concerning the language of the Penobscots, I have from a gentleman in Massachusetts, who has been much conversant among the Indians. That the languages of the Shawanese and Chippewaus is radically the same with the Mohegan, I shall endeavour to shew. My authorities

\* Wherever *ew* occurs in an Indian word, it is a mere consonant, as in *work*, *world*, &c.

for what I say of the languages of the other nations are, Captain *Yoghum*, before mentioned, and *Carver's Travels*.

To illustrate the analogy between the *Mobegan*, the *Shawanee*, and the *Chippewan* languages, I shall exhibit a short list of words of those three languages. For the list of *Mobegan* words, I myself am accountable: That of the *Shawanee* words, was communicated to me by General *Parsons*, who has had opportunity to make a partial vocabulary of that language. For the words of the *Chippewan* language, I am dependent on *Carver's Travels*.

| <i>English.</i>    | <i>Mobegan.</i>   | <i>Shawanee.</i>                                   |
|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| A bear - - -       | Mquoh - - -       | Mauquah                                            |
| A beaver - - -     | Amisque* - - -    | Ataquah                                            |
| Eye - - -          | Hkeefque - - -    | Skeefacoo                                          |
| Ear - - -          | Towohque - - -    | Towacah                                            |
| Fetch - - -        | Pautoh - - -      | Peatoloo                                           |
| My grandfather     | Nemoghhome †      | Nemasompethau                                      |
| My grandmother     | Nohhum - - -      | Nocumthau                                          |
| My grandchild      | Naughees - - -    | Noofhethau                                         |
| He goes - - -      | Pumissoo - - -    | Pomthalo                                           |
| A girl - - -       | Peefquaufoo - - - | Squauthanthau                                      |
| House - - -        | Weekumuhm - - -   | Weccuah                                            |
| He (that man)      | Uwob - - -        | Welah                                              |
| His head - - -     | Weenfis - - -     | { Weefeh (I ima-<br>gine mispelt, for<br>weenfeh.) |
| His heart - - -    | Utoh - - -        | Otahch                                             |
| Hair - - -         | Weghaukun - - -   | Welathoh                                           |
| Her husband - - -  | Waughecheh - - -  | Wafecheh                                           |
| His teeth - - -    | Wepeeton - - -    | Wepeetalee                                         |
| I thank you - - -  | Wneeweh - - -     | Neauweh                                            |
| My uncle - - -     | Nfees - - -       | Neefeethau                                         |
| I - - -            | Neah - - -        | Nelah                                              |
| Thou - - -         | Keah - - -        | Kelah                                              |
| We - - -           | Neaunuh - - -     | Nelauweh                                           |
| Ye - - -           | Keauwuh - - -     | Kelauweh                                           |
| Water - - -        | Nbey - - -        | Nippee                                             |
| Elder sister - - - | Nmees - - -       | Nemeethau                                          |
| River - - -        | Sepoo - - -       | Thepee                                             |

\* *e* final is never sounded in any Indian word, which I write, except monosyllables.

† *gb* in any Indian word has the strong guttural sound, which is given by the Scots to the same letters in the words *tough*, *enough*, &c.

The

The following is a specimen of analogy between the Mohegan and Chippewau languages.

| <i>English.</i>                                    | <i>Mobegan.</i>          | <i>Chippewau.</i> |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| A bear - - -                                       | Mquoh - - -              | Mackwah           |
| A beaver - - -                                     | Amisque - - -            | Amik              |
| To die (I die) - - -                               | Nip - - -                | Nip               |
| Dead (he is dead)                                  | Nboo or Nepoo *          | Neepoo            |
| Devil - - - {                                      | Mtandou, or<br>Mannito † | { Manitou         |
| Dress the kettle<br>(make a fire) }                | Pootouwah - - -          | Poutwah           |
| His eyes - - -                                     | Ukeesquan - - -          | Wiskinkhie        |
| Fire - - -                                         | Stauw - - -              | Scutta            |
| Give it him - - -                                  | Meenuh - - -             | Millaw            |
| A spirit (a spectre)                               | Mannito - - -            | Manitou           |
| How - - -                                          | Tuneh § - - -            | Tawnè             |
| House - - -                                        | Weekunuhm - - -          | Wigwaum           |
| An impostor (he<br>is an impostor<br>or bad man) } | Mtiffoo - - -            | Mawlawtiffie      |
| Go - - -                                           | Pumiffeh - - -           | Pimmouffie        |
| Marry - - -                                        | Weeween - - -            | Weewin            |
| Good for nought                                    | Mtit - - -               | Malatat           |
| River - - -                                        | Sepoo - - -              | Sippim            |
| Shoe - - -                                         | Mkiffin - - -            | Maukiffin         |
| The sun - - -                                      | Keefogh - - -            | Kiffis            |
| Sit down - - -                                     | Mattipeh - - -           | Mintipin          |
| Water - - -                                        | Nbey - - -               | Nebbi             |
| Where - - -                                        | Tedah - - -              | Tah               |
| Winter - - -                                       | Hpoon - - -              | Pepoun            |
| Wood - - -                                         | Metooque - - -           | Mittic            |

Almost every man who writes Indian words, spells them in a peculiar manner: and I dare say, if the same person had taken down all the words above, from the mouths of the Indians, he would have spelt them more alike, and the coincidence would have appeared more striking. Most of those who write and print Indian words, use the letter *a*

\* The first syllable scarcely sounded.

† The last of these words properly signifies a spectre, or any thing frightful.

§ Wherever *u* occurs, it has not the long sound of the English *u* as in *commune*; but the sound of *u* in *uncle*, though much protracted. The other vowels are to be pronounced, as in English.

where

where the sound is that of *ob* or *au*. Hence the reader will observe, that in some of the Mohegan words above, *e* or *ob* is used, when *a* or *ab* is used in the correspondent words of the other languages: as *Mquob*, *Mauquab*. I doubt not the sound of those two syllables is exactly the same, as pronounced by the Indians of the different tribes.

It is not to be supposed, that the like coincidence is extended to all the words of those languages. Very many words are totally different. Still the analogy is such as is sufficient to shew, that they are mere dialects of the same original language.

I could not, throughout, give words of the same signification in the three languages, as the two vocabularies, from which I extracted the *Shawanee* and *Chippewan* words, did not contain words of the same signification, excepting in some instances.

The Mohawk, which is the language of the Six Nations, is entirely different from that of the Mohegans. There is no more appearance of a derivation of one of these last-mentioned languages from the other, than there is of a derivation of either of them from the English. One obvious diversity, and in which the Mohawk is perhaps different from every other language, is, that it is wholly destitute of labials; whereas the Mohegan abounds with labials. I shall here give the numerals, as far as ten, and the *Pater Noster*, in both languages.

*Mohegan.*

Ngwittoh

Neefoh

Noghloh

Nauwoh

Nunon

Ngwittus

Tupouwus

Ghusooh

Nauneeweh

Mtannit

*Mohawk.*

Ufko

Tegeeneh

Ohs

Kialeh

Wisk

Yoiyok

Chautok

Sottago

Teuhtoh

Wialeh

The *Pater Noster* in the Mohegan language, is as follows:

Noghnub, ne spummuck oieon, taugh mauweh wneh wtukoseauk neanne annuwoieon. Taugh ne aunchuwu-tamun wawehtuseek maweh noh pummeh. Ne annoihteech mauweh awauneek noh hkey oiecheek, ne aunchuwu-

aunchuwutamun, ne aunoihitteet neek spummuk oie-  
cheek. Menenaunuh noonooch wuhkamauk tquogh nuh  
uhhuyutamauk ngummauweh. Ohquutamouwenau-  
auneh mumachioieaukeh, ne anneh ohquutamouwoieauk  
numpeh neek mumacheh annehoquaukeek. Cheen hquuk-  
quaucheh siukeh annehenaunuh. Panneeweh htouwenau-  
nuh neen maumtehkeh. Keah ngwehchek kwiouwauweh  
mauweh noh pummeh; ktanwoi; estah awaun wtinnoiyu-  
wun ne aunoieyon; hanweeweh ne ktinnoieen. Amen.

The Pater Noster, in the language of the Six Nations,  
taken from Smith's History of New-York, is this :

Soungwauneha caurounkyawga tehseetaroan sauhson-  
eyousta esa sawaneyou okettauhfela ehneauwoung na cau-  
rounkyawga nughwonshauga neatewehnesalauka taug-  
waunautoronoantoughsick toantaugweleewheyoustaung  
cheneeyeut chaquataulehwhyoustaunna toughsou taug-  
wauffareneh tawautottenaugaloushtoungga nasawne fa-  
cheautaugwafis coantehfalehaunzaickaw esa sawauneyou esa  
fashoutzta esa soungwasoung chenueauhaungwa; auwen.

The reader will observe, that there is not a single labial,  
either in the numerals, or Pater Noster of this language;  
and that when they come to *amen*, from an aversion to  
shutting the lips, they change the *m* to *w*.

In no part of these languages does there appear to be a  
greater coincidence, than in this specimen. I have never  
noticed one word in either of them, which has any analogy  
to the correspondent word in the other language.

Concerning the Mohegan language, it is observable,  
that there is no diversity of gender, either in nouns or  
pronouns. The very same words express *he* and *she*, *him*  
and *her*. Hence, when the Mohegans speak English, they  
generally in this respect follow strictly their own idiom: a  
man will say concerning his wife, *he sick, he gone away, &c.*

With regard to *cases*, they have but one variation from  
the nominative, which is formed by the addition of the  
syllable *an* as *wneechun*, his child, *wneechunan*. This varied  
case seems to suit indifferently any case, except the nomi-  
native.

The plural is formed by adding a letter or syllable to  
the singular; as *nemannauw*, a man; *nemannauk*, men;  
*penumpausoo*, a boy; *penumpausook*, boys.

The Mohegans more carefully distinguish the natural  
relations of men to each other, than we do, or perhaps any  
other nation. They have one word to express an elder  
brother, *netohcon*; another to express a younger brother,  
*ngheesum*.

*ngheesum*. One to express an elder sister, *nmasc*; another to express a younger sister, *ngheesum*. But the word for younger brother, and younger sister is the same.—*N/safe*, is my uncle by my mother's side; *nucbeebque*, is my uncle by the father's side.

The Mohegans have no adjectives in all their language; unless we reckon numerals and such words as *all*, *many*, &c. adjectives. Of adjectives, which express the qualities of substances, I do not find that they have any: they express those qualities by verbs, neuter; as *wnissoo*, he is beautiful; *missoo*, he is homely; *pebtunquissoo*, he is tall; *nscnnoo*, he is malicious, &c. Thus in Latin, many qualities are expressed by verbs neuter, as *valeo*, *caleo*, *frigeo*, &c.—Although it may at first seem not only singular and curious, but impossible, that a language should exist without adjectives, yet it is an indubitable fact. Nor do they seem to suffer any inconvenience by it. They as readily express any quality by a neuter verb, as we do by an adjective.

If it should be enquired, how it appears that the words above mentioned are not adjectives: I answer, it appears as they have all the same variations and declensions of other verbs. *To walk*, will be acknowledged to be a verb. This verb is declined thus; *npumseh*, I walk; *kpumseh*, thou walkest; *pumissoo*, he walketh; *npumsehnuh*, we walk; *kpumsehnuh*, ye walk; *pumissook*, they walk. In the same manner are the words in question declined; *npebtubquissseh*, I am tall; *kpebtubquissseh*, thou art tall; *pebtubquisssoo*, he is tall; *npebtubquisssehnuh*, we are tall; *kpebtubquisssehnuh*, ye are tall; *pebtubquisssook*, they are tall.

Though the Mohegans have no proper adjectives, they have participles to all their verbs: as *pebtubquissseh*, the man who is tall; *paumseh*, the man who walks; *waunseh*, the man who is beautiful; *oiet*, the man who lives, or dwells in a place; *oietseh*, the man who fights. So in the plural, *pebtubquisssehcheek*, the tall men; *paumsehcheek*, they who walk, &c

It is observable of the participles of this language, that they are declined through the persons and numbers, in the same manner as verbs: thus, *paumseh-uh*, I walking; *paumseh-an*, thou walking; *paumseh*, he walking; *paumsehauk*, we walking; *paumsehauque*, ye walking; *paumsehcheek*, they walking.

They have no relative corresponding to our *who*, or *which*.

*which.* Instead of *the man who walks*, they say, the walking man, or the walker.

As they have no adjectives, of course they have no comparison of adjectives; yet they are put to no difficulty to express the comparative excellence or baseness of any two things. With a neuter verb expressive of the quality, they use an adverb to point out the degree: as *annuweweb wniſſoo*, he is more beautiful; *kahnub wniſſoo*, he is very beautiful. *Nemannauwoo*, he is a man; *annuweweb nemmannauwoo*, he is a man of superior excellence or courage; *kahnub nemmannauwoo*, he is a man of extraordinary excellence or courage.

Besides the pronouns common in other languages, they express the pronouns, both substantive and adjective, by *affixes*, or by letters or syllables added at the beginnings, or ends, or both, of their nouns. In this particular, the structure of the language coincides with that of the Hebrew, in an instance in which the Hebrew differs from all the languages of Europe, antient or modern. However, the use of the affixed pronouns in the Mohegan language, is not perfectly similar to the use of them in the Hebrew. As in the Hebrew they are joined to the ends of words only, but in the Mohegan, they are sometimes joined to the ends, sometimes to the beginnings, and sometimes to both. Thus, *tmohbecan*, is a hatchet or ax; *ndumbecan*, is my hatchet; *ktumbecan*, thy hatchet; *utumbecan*, his hatchet; *ndumbecannub*, our hatchet; *ktumbecannoowub*, your hatchet; *utumbecannoowub*, their hatchet. It is observable, that the pronouns for the singular number are prefixed, and for the plural, the prefixed pronouns for the singular being retained, there are others added as suffixes.

It is further to be observed, that, by the increase of the word, the vowels are changed and transposed; as *tmohbecan*, *ndumbecan*; the *o* is changed into *u* and transposed, in a manner analogous to what is often done in the Hebrew. The *t* is changed into *d*, *euphoniæ gratia*.

A considerable part of the appellatives are never used without a pronoun affixed. The Mohegans can say, my father, *nogh*; thy father, *kogh*, &c. &c. but they cannot say absolutely *father*. There is no such word in all their language. If you were to say *ogh*, which the word would be, if stripped of all affixes, you would make a Mohegan both stare and smile. The same observation is applicable to *mother*, *brother*, *sister*, *son*, *beard*, *hand*, *foot*, &c. in short,

to those things in general which necessarily in their natural state belong to some person. A hatchet is sometimes found without an owner, and therefore they sometimes have occasion to speak of it absolutely, or without referring it to an owner. But as a *head, hand, &c.* naturally belong to some person, and they have no occasion to speak of them without referring to the person to whom they belong; so they have no words to express them absolutely. This I presume is a peculiarity in which this language differs from all languages, which have ever yet come to the knowledge of the learned world.

The pronouns are in like manner prefixed and suffixed to verbs. The Mohegans never use a verb in the infinitive mood, or without a nominative or agent; and never use a verb transitive without expressing both the agent and the object, correspondent to the nominative and accusative cases in Latin. Thus they can neither say, *to love*, nor *I love, thou givest, &c.* But they can say, *I love thee, thou givest him, &c.* viz. *Ndubwbunuw*, I love him or her; *ndubwbuntammin*, I love it; *ktubwbunin*, I love thee; *ktubwbunoomub*, I love you, (in the plural) *ndubwbununk*, I love them. This, I think, is another peculiarity of this language.

Another peculiarity is, that the nominative and accusative pronouns prefixed and suffixed, are always used, even though other nominatives and accusatives be expressed. Thus they cannot say, *John loves Peter*; they always say, *John he loves him Peter*; *John ndubwbunuw Peteran*. Hence when the Indians begin to talk English, they universally express themselves according to this idiom.

It is further observable, that the pronoun in the accusative case is sometimes in the same instance expressed by both a prefix and a suffix; as *ktubwbunin*, I love thee. The *k* prefixed, and the syllable *in*, suffixed, both unite to express, and are both necessary to express the accusative case *thee*.

They have no verb substantive in all the language; therefore they cannot say, *he is a man, he is a coward, &c.* They express the same by one word, which is a verb neuter, viz. *nemannauwoo*, he is a man. *Nemannauw*, is the noun substantive, *man*: that turned into a verb neuter of the third person singular, becomes *nemannauwoo*, as in Latin, it is said, *græcor, græcatur, &c.* Thus they turn any substantive whatever into a verb neuter; *kmattannis-*  
*fautcub,*

*fauteuh*, you are a coward, from *matansfautee*, a coward ;  
*kpeesquaufsoch*, you are a girl, from *peesquaufsoo*, a girl\*.

Hence also we see the *reason*, why they have no verb substantive. As they have no adjectives, and as they turn their substantives into verbs on any occasion, they have no use for the substantive or auxiliary verb.

The third person singular seems to be the radix, or most simple form of the several persons of their verbs in the indicative mood : but the second person singular of the imperative, seems to be the most simple of any of the forms of their verbs : as *meeſeb*, eat thou ; *meeſoo*, he eateth ; *nmeeſeb*, I eat ; *kmeefeb*, thou eatest, &c.

They have a past and future tense to their verbs ; but often, if not generally, they use the form of the present tense, to express both past and future events. As *wunukuwob ndiotuwobpob*, yesterday I fought ; or *wunukuwob ndiotuwob*, yesterday I fight ; *ndiotuwauch wupkob*, I shall fight to-morrow ; or *wupkauch ndiotuwob*, to-morrow I fight. In this last case, the variation of *wupkob* to *wupkauch*, denotes the future tense ; and this variation is in the word *to-morrow*, not in the verb *fight*.

They have very few prepositions, and those are rarely used, but in composition. *Anneh*, is to ; *ocheb*, is from. But to, from, &c. are almost always expressed by an alteration of the verb. Thus, *ndoghpob*, is I ride, and *Wnoghquetoookoke*, is Stockbridge. But if I would say in Indian, *I ride to Stockbridge*, I must say, not *anneh Wnoghquetoookoke ndoghpob*, but *Wnoghquetoookoke ndinnoghpob*. If I would say, *I ride from Stockbridge*, it must be, not *ocheb Wnoghquetoookoke ndoghpob* ; but *Wnoghquetoookoke nochetoghpob*. Thus *ndinnoghbob* is, I walk to a place ; *notoghbob*, I walk from a place ; *ndinnebnub*, I run to a place ; *nochetbnub*, I run from a place. And any verb may be compounded, with the prepositions, *anneh* and *ocheb* to and from.

It has been said, that savages have no parts of speech besides the substantive and the verb. This is not true concerning the Mohegans, nor concerning any other tribe of Indians, of whose language I have any knowledge. The Mohegans have all the eight parts of speech, to be found in other languages, though prepositions are so rarely used,

\* The circumstance that they have no verb substantive, accounts for their not using that verb, when they speak English. They say, *I am, I sick, &c.*

except in composition, that I once determined that part of speech to be wanting. It has been said also, that savages never abstract, and have no abstract terms, which with regard to the Mohegans, is another mistake. They have *nibwundowukon*, love; *sekeenundowbkon*, hatred; *nscowukon*, malice; *peyubtommauwukon*, religion, &c. I doubt not, but that there is in this language the full proportion of abstract, to concrete terms, which is commonly to be found in other languages.

Besides what has been observed concerning prefixes and suffixes, there is a remarkable analogy, between some words in the Mohegan language, and the correspondent words in the Hebrew. In Mohegan, *Neab*, is I: the Hebrew of which is *Ani*. *Keab*, is thou or thee: the Hebrews use *ka*, the suffix. *Uwob*, is this man, or this thing: very analogous to the Hebrew *hu* or *hua*, ipse. *Neannub*, is we: in the Hebrew *nachnu* and *anachnu*.

In Hebrew, *ni*, is the suffix for *me*, or the first person; In the Mohegan, *n* or *ne* is prefixed, to denote the first person; as *nmeetsch* or *nemetsch*, I eat. In Hebrew, *k* or *ka* is the suffix for the second person, and is indifferently either a pronoun substantive or adjective: *k* or *ka* has the same use in the Mohegan language; as *kmetsch*, or *ka-metsch*, thou eatest; *knisk*, thy hand. In Hebrew, the *vau*, the letter *u* and *hu*, are the suffixes for *he* or *him*. In Mohegan, the same is expressed by *u* or *uw*, and by *oo*; as *ndubwunuw*, I love him; *pumissoo*, he walketh. The suffix, to express *our* or *us* in Hebrew, is *nu*; in Mohegan, the suffix, of the same signification, is *nub*; as *noghnub*, our father; *nmeetschnub*, we eat, &c.

How far the use of prefixes and suffixes, together with these instances of analogy, and perhaps other instances, which may be traced out by those who have more leisure, go towards proving, that the North American Indians are of Hebrew, or at least Asiatic extraction, is submitted to the judgment of the learned. The facts are demonstrable: concerning the proper inferences, every one will judge for himself. In the modern Armenian language, the pronouns are affixed\*. How far affixes are in use among the other modern Asiatics, I have not had opportunity to obtain information. It is to be desired, that those who are informed, would communicate to the public what information they may possess, relating to this matter. Perhaps,

\* Vide Schroderi thesaurum Linguae Armenicæ.



*Lately published, price Three Shillings, or Three Shillings and Sixpence,*

The SECOND EDITION of

A SELECTION OF HYMNS,

FROM THE BEST AUTHORS,

Intended to be an

APPENDIX to Dr. WATTS's PSALMS and HYMNS.

By JOHN RIPPON, A.M.

Sold by BUCKLAND, DILLY, and LEFARD, London; BROWN, at Bristol; and  
by BINNS, at Leeds.

